## Minute Cader Form (06/97)



## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		James B	. Moran	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER		02 C	1802	DATE	6/19/	2003		
CASE TITLE			New Process Steel, LP vs. PH Group, Inc. et al.					
[In the following box (a) of the motion being pres			indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature sented.]					
Memorandum Opinion and Order								
DOCKET ENTRY:								
(1)	☐ Filed:	Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]						
(2)	□ Brief	ef in support of motion due						
(3)	□ Answ	nswer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due						
(4)	□ Ruling	Ruling/Hearing on set for at						
(5)	□ Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(6)	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(7)	☐ Trial[s	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at						
(8)	□ [Benc	ch/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at						
(9)		his case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  FRCP4(m)						
(10)  [Other docket entry] Plaintiff's motion to compel and motion for imposition of sanctions under Rule 37(d) is denied. Status hearing set for July 23, 2003 at 9:15am. to stand.								
(11)		urther detail see order	attached to the orig	inal minute order.]				
	No notices required, a  No notices required.	idvised in open court.				Document Number		
<u> </u>	Notices mailed by judge's staff.				number of notices			
	Notified counsel by telephone.				-50N 2 3 2003 date døcketed	1 0		
Docketing to mail notices.				/2/2				
	Mail AO 450 form.		OLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT		dockcling deputy initials			
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.			~ 					
WAH		courtroom deputy's initials	LS:6 WV 0	C MIII. EA	date mailed notice			
			01 <u>93</u> -0	e received in				

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

NEW PROCESS STEEL, L.P.,	)	ħ.
Plaintiff,	) )	JUN 2 3 2003
vs.	No. 02 C 1802	3 <003
PH GROUP, INC. and ST. LAWRENCE HYDRAULICS, INC., f/k/a ST. LAWRENCE PRESS COMPANY, INC.,	) ) )	
Defendants	)	

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff made arrangements with the PH Group, Inc. attorneys (PH) to take the depositions of five PH employees in Columbus, Ohio, and a former employee in Detroit Michigan. Shortly before the scheduled depositions PH indicated it would not make its employees available and would not attend the deposition in Detroit. Plaintiff had subpoenaed the Detroit deponent, but, as it turned out, weather conditions prevented that one from going ahead in any event. Plaintiff's attorneys did not actually go to Ohio, given the information that the witnesses would not be available, but appeared by telephone. It now seeks a default judgment or a monetary sanction. That motion is denied.

PH's conduct, which it now apparently has thought better of, was unfortunate. If plaintiff's counsel had gone to Detroit he could have taken the deposition whether or not PH showed up. Notice to PH was sufficient to invoke an obligation on PH's part to produce the witnesses if they were managing agents of PH, but regular employees must be subpoenaed to ensure their appearance, Wright, Miller & Marcus, Federal Practice and Procedure: Civil 2d

62

2103. We are given no reason to believe the employees were managing agents. While PH should have followed through, the witnesses were not obligated to appear absent a subpoena.

Apparently, discovery is now back on track. And it should be. We trust that the parties will cooperate in scheduling discovery, as that reduces the expense for all concerned.

JAMES B. MORAN

Senior Judge, U.S. District Court